

# Product Orders

There are various ways in which partial orders can be extended to product sets (i.e., sets of tuples).

Let  $(A, \preceq_1)$  and  $(B, \preceq_2)$  be partially ordered sets. The corresponding *product order* is defined as follows:

$$(x, y) \preceq (x', y') \text{ if and only if } x \preceq_1 x' \text{ and } y \preceq_2 y'.$$

For example, take  $A = B = \mathbb{N}$  with the usual *leq* order. Then  $(5, 5) \preceq (7, 5)$ , since  $5 \leq 7$  and  $5 \leq 5$ . But  $(5, 2) \not\preceq (100, 1)$ , because  $2 \not\leq 1$ .

*Theorem.*

The product order of two partially ordered sets is a partial order.

Proof left as exercise.

Product orders can also be defined on n-fold cross products  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ :

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \preceq (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ iff } x_i \preceq_i y_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

where  $(A_i, \preceq_i)$  is a partially ordered set, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

# Filing Orders

Note that a product order need not be a chain, even when its component orders are chains. There is a different way of combining orders so that the combination of chains will always result in a chain.

Let  $(A_1, \preceq_1), \dots, (A_n, \preceq_n)$  be partially ordered sets. We define a *filing relation*  $\prec$  on the  $n$ -fold cross product  $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$  by:

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \preceq (y_1, \dots, y_n) \text{ iff} \\ x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{k-1} = y_{k-1} \text{ and } x_k \prec_k y_k \\ \text{for some } k \text{ with } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

For example, we have  $(5, 5) \prec (5, 7)$  and also  $(5, 5) \not\prec (4, 100)$ . Do we have  $(4, 100) \prec (5, 5)$ ?

Another example of this relation is an arrangement of personal files by last name (first) and first name (last).

*Theorem.*

1. Each filing relation is a quasi-order.
2. If the component orders are chains, then the filing order is also a chain.

If the  $n$  partially ordered sets  $(A_i, \preceq_i)$  are all the same, say  $(A, \preceq)$ , the corresponding filing order is denoted by the symbol  $\prec^n$ .

# Orders on Formal Languages

We next consider orders defined on formal languages  $\Sigma^*$ .

First note, again, that

$$\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma^k.$$

We can define orders on each set  $\Sigma^k$  via the filing order. More specifically, let  $\preceq$  be a partial order on  $\Sigma$ . We define an order on  $\Sigma^k$  by:

$$a_1 \cdots a_k \preceq_k b_1 \cdots b_k \text{ iff} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_k) \preceq^k (b_1, \dots, b_k)$$

For example, if  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  and  $a \prec b$ , then  $aa \prec ab \prec ba \prec bb$ .

The two orders  $\preceq_k$  and  $\preceq^k$  are evidently closely related and we will use the same symbol,  $\preceq^k$ , to denote both of them.

The *standard order* on  $\Sigma^*$  is defined by:

$$v \preceq^* w \text{ if either } |v| < |w| \text{ or else} \\ |v| = |w| \text{ and } v \preceq^{|v|} w$$

For the example above we have,

$$\lambda \prec^* a \prec^* b \prec^* aa \prec^* \cdots bb \prec^* aaa \prec^* \cdots$$

# Lexicographic Orders

The *lexicographic relation* on  $\Sigma^*$  is defined by:

$$a_1 \cdots a_m \prec_L b_1 \cdots b_n \text{ iff}$$
$$\text{either } a_1 \cdots a_k \prec^k b_1 \cdots b_k$$
$$\text{or else } k = m < n \text{ and } a_1 \cdots a_k = b_1 \cdots b_k$$

where  $k$  is the minimum of  $m$  and  $n$ .

The arrangement of words in a dictionary is one example of a lexicographic order.

*Theorem.*

Each lexicographic relation is a quasi-order.

Taking again  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  with  $a \prec b$ , we have

$$\lambda \prec_L a \prec_L aa \prec_L aaa \prec_L \cdots$$

*Theorem.*

If  $(\Sigma, \preceq)$  is a chain, then  $(\Sigma^*, \preceq^*)$  is a well-ordered chain and  $(\Sigma^*, \preceq_L)$  is a chain.

The chain  $(\Sigma^*, \preceq_L)$  is not well-ordered, though. For instance, there is an infinite “decreasing” chain,

$$b \succ_L ab \succ_L aab \succ_L aaab \succ_L \cdots$$

where  $\succ_L$  denotes the inverse relation to  $\prec_L$ .