

# Chapter 13, Part 1: Predicate Languages

**Predicate Languages** are also called **First Order Languages**. The same applies to the use of terms Propositional and Predicate Logic; they are often called zero Order and First Order Logics and we will use both terms equally.

We will work with several different predicate languages, depending on what applications we have in mind. All of those languages have some common features, and we begin with these.

**Propositional connectives** We define the set of propositional connectives

*CON*

in the same way as in the case of the propositional languages. It means that we assume the following.

1. The set of connectives is non-empty and finite, i.e.

$$0 < \text{card}CON < \aleph_0.$$

2. We consider only the connectives with one or two arguments.

**Quantifiers** We adopt two quantifiers;  $\forall$  (for all, the universal quantifier) and  $\exists$  (there exists, the existential quantifier), i.e. we have the following set of quantifiers

$$Q = \{\forall, \exists\}.$$

In a case of the classical logic and the logics that extend it, it is possible to adopt only one quantifier and to define the other in terms of it and propositional connectives. It is impossible in a case of some non-classical logics, for example the intuitionistic logic. But even in the case of classical logic two quantifiers express better the common intuition, so we assume that we have two of them.

**Parenthesis.** As in the propositional case, we adopt the signs ( and ) for our parenthesis., i.e. we define a set *PAR* as

$$PAR = \{(, )\}.$$

**Variables** We assume that we always have a countably infinite set  $VAR$  of variables, i.e. we assume that

$$\text{card}VAR = \aleph_0.$$

We denote variables by  $x, y, z, \dots$ , with indices, if necessary, what we often express by writing

$$VAR = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

The set of propositional connectives *CON* defines a propositional part of the predicate logic language.

**Observe** that what really differ one predicate language from the other is the **choice of additional symbols** to the symbols described above.

**These symbols** predicate symbols, function symbols, and constant symbols.

**A particular** predicate language is determined by specifying the following sets of symbols.

**Predicate symbols** Predicate symbols represent relations.

**We assume** that we have an non empty, finite or countably infinite set

**P**

of predicate, or relation symbols. I.e. we assume that

$$0 < \text{card}\mathbf{P} \leq \aleph_0.$$

**We denote** predicate symbols by  $P, Q, R, \dots$ , with indices, if necessary.

**Each predicate symbol**  $P \in \mathbf{P}$  has a positive integer  $\#P$  assigned to it; if  $\#P = n$  then say  $P$  is **called an n-ary (n - place) predicate (relation) symbol.**

**Function symbols** We assume that we have a **finite (may be empty) or countably infinite set**

**F**

of function symbols. I.e. we assume that

$$0 \leq \text{card}\mathbf{F} \leq \aleph_0.$$

When the set **F** is **empty** we say that we deal with a language without functional symbols.

**We denote** functional symbols by  $f, g, h, \dots$ , with indices, if necessary.

**Similarly**, as in the case of predicate symbols, each function symbol  $f \in \mathbf{F}$  has a positive integer  $\#f$  assigned to it; if  $\#f = n$  then say  $f$  is called **an n-ary (n - place) function symbol**.

**Constant symbols** We also assume that we have a **finite (may be empty) or countably infinite set**

$\mathbf{C}$

of constant symbols. I.e. we assume that

$$0 \leq \text{card}\mathbf{C} \leq \aleph_0.$$

The elements of  $\mathbf{C}$  are denoted by  $c, d, e, \dots$ , with indices, if necessary, what we often express by writing

$$\mathbf{C} = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}.$$

When the set  $\mathbf{C}$  is empty we say that we deal with a **language without constant symbols**.

**Sometimes** the constant symbols are defined as **0-ary function symbols**, i.e.

$$C \subseteq F.$$

We single them out as a separate set for our convenience.

**Disjoint sets** We assume that all of the above sets are disjoint.

**Alphabet** The union of all of above disjoint sets is called the *alphabet*  $\mathcal{A}$  of the predicate language, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = VAR \cup CON \cup PAR \cup Q \cup P \cup F \cup C.$$

**Observe**, that once the set of propositional connectives is fixed, the predicate language is determined by the sets **P**, **F** and **C**.

**We use the notation**

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$$

for the predicate language  $\mathcal{L}$  determined by **P**, **F** and **C**.

If there is no danger of confusion, we may **abbreviate**  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  to just  $\mathcal{L}$ .

If for some reason we need to stress the set of **propositional connectives** involved, we will also use the notation

$$\mathcal{L}_{CON}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$$

to denote the predicate language  $\mathcal{L}$  determined by **P**, **F**, **C** and the set of propositional connectives *CON*.

We sometimes allow the same symbol to be used as an  $n$ -place relation symbol, and also as an  $m$ -place one; no confusion should arise because the different uses can be told apart easily.

If we write  $P(x, y)$ ,  $P$  denotes 2-argument predicate symbol.

If we write  $P(x, y, z)$ ,  $P$  denotes 3-argument predicate symbol.

Similarly for function symbols.

Having defined the basic elements of syntax, the alphabet, we can now complete the formal definition of the predicate language by defining two more complex sets.

**Terms** The set  $T$  of all **terms** and

**Formulas** the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of all well formed formulas of the language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ .

**Terms** The set

$T$

of terms of the predicate language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  is the smallest set  $T \subset \mathcal{A}^*$  meeting the conditions:

1. any variable is a term, i.e.  $VAR \subseteq T$ ;
2. any constant symbol is a term, i.e.  $\mathbf{C} \subseteq T$ ;
3. if  $f$  is an  $n$ place function symbol, i.e.  $f \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $\#f = n$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T$ , then  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in T$ .

**Example 1** If  $f \in \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\#f = 1$ , i.e.  $f$  is a one place function symbol,  $x, y$  are variables,  $c, d$  are constants, i.e.  $x, y \in VAR, c, d \in \mathbf{C}$ , then the following are terms:

$$x, y, f(x), f(y), f(c), f(d),$$

$$ff(x), ff(y), ff(c), ff(d), \dots etc.$$

**Example 2** If  $\mathbf{F} = \emptyset, \mathbf{C} = \emptyset$ , then the set  $T$  of terms consists of variables only, i.e.

$$T = VAR = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}.$$

From the above we get the following observation.

**REMARK** For any predicate language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ , the set  $T$  of its terms is always non-empty.

**Example 3** If  $f \in \mathbf{F}, \#f = 1, g \in \mathbf{F}, \#g = 2,$   
 $x, y \in VAR, c, d \in \mathbf{C},$

then some of the terms are the following:

$$f(g(x, y)), f(g(c, x)), g(ff(c), g(x, y)), \\ g(c, g(x, f(c))), g(f(g(x, y)), g(x, f(c))).$$

From time to time, the logicians are and we may be informal about how we write terms.

For instance, if we denote a two place function symbol  $g$  by  $+$ , we may write  $x + y$  instead  $+(x, y)$ .

Because in this case we can think of  $x + y$  as an unofficial way of designating the "real" term  $+(x, y)$ , or even  $g(x, y)$ .

**Before** we define the **set of formulas**, we need to define one more set; the set of **atomic**, or **elementary** formulas.

They are the "smallest" formulas as were the propositional variables in the case of propositional languages.

**Atomic formulas** An atomic formula of a predicate language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  is any element of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of the form

$$R(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n),$$

where  $R \in \mathbf{P}$ ,  $\#R = n$ , i.e.  $R$  is  $n$ -ary relational symbol and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are terms.

**The set** of all atomic formulas is denoted by

$$\mathcal{AF}$$

and is defined as

$$\mathcal{AF} = \{R(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathcal{A}^* :$$

$$R \in \mathbf{P}, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \in T, \#R = n, n \geq 1\}.$$

**Example** Consider a language

$$\mathcal{L}(\emptyset, \{P\}, \emptyset),$$

for  $\#P = 1$ .

Our language

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\emptyset, \{P\}, \emptyset)$$

is a language without neither functional, nor constant symbols, and with one, one-place predicate symbol  $P$ .

The set of **atomic formulas** contains all formulas of the form  $P(x)$ , for  $x$  any variable, i.e.

$$\mathcal{AF} = \{P(x) : x \in VAR\}.$$

**Example** Let now

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\{f, g\}, \{R\}, \{c, d\}),$$

for  $\#f = 1$ ,  $\#g = 2$ ,  $\#R = 2$ ,

The language  $\mathcal{L}$  has two functional symbols: one -place symbol  $f$  and two-place symbol  $g$ ; one two-place predicate symbol  $R$ , and two constants:  $c, d$ .

Some of the **atomic formulas** in this case are the following.

$$R(c, d), R(x, f(c)), R(f(g(x, y))), \\ f(g(c, x)), R(y, g(c, g(x, f(c)))).$$

Now we are ready to define the set  $\mathcal{F}$  of all **well formed formulas** of the language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ .

**Formulas** The set

$$\mathcal{F}$$

of all well formed formulas, called shortly set of formulas, of the language  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  is the smallest set meeting the following conditions:

1. any atomic formula of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  is a formula, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F};$$

2. if  $A$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\nabla$  is an one argument propositional connective, then  $\nabla A$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ , i.e. if the following recursive condition holds

$$\text{if } A \in \mathcal{F}, \nabla \in C_1, \text{ then } \nabla A \in \mathcal{F};$$

3. if  $A, B$  are formulas of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ ,  $\circ$  is a two argument propositional connective, then  $(A \circ B)$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ , i.e. if the following recursive condition holds

*if  $A \in \mathcal{F}, \nabla \in C_2$ , then  $(A \circ B) \in \mathcal{F}$ ;*

4. if  $A$  is a formula of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$  and  $x$  is a variable, then  $\forall xA, \exists xA$  are formulas of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C})$ , i.e. if the following recursive condition holds

*if  $A \in \mathcal{F}, x \in VAR, \forall, \exists \in \mathbf{Q}$  then  $\forall xA, \exists xA \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

Another important notion of the Predicate language is the notion of a **scope** of the quantifier. It is defined as follows.

**Scope of the quantifier** In  $\forall xA$ ,  $\exists xA$ ,  $A$  is in the scope of the quantifier  $\forall$ ,  $\exists$ , respectively.

**Example** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language of the previous example, with the set of connectives  $\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}$  i.e.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\{\cap, \cup, \Rightarrow, \neg\}}(\{f, g\}, \{R\}, \{c, d\}),$$

for  $\#f = 1$ ,  $\#g = 2$ ,  $\#R = 2$ . Some of the formulas of  $\mathcal{L}$  are the following.

$$R(c, d), \quad \exists x R(x, f(c)), \quad \neg R(x, y),$$

$$(\exists x R(x, f(c)) \Rightarrow \neg R(x, y)),$$

$$(R(c, d) \cap \exists x R(x, f(c))),$$

$$\forall y R(y, g(c, g(x, f(c))))), \quad \forall y \neg \exists x R(x, y).$$

The formula  $R(x, f(c))$  is in a **scope of the quantifier**  $\exists x$  in  $\exists x R(x, f(c))$ .

The formula  $(\exists x R(x, f(c)) \Rightarrow \neg R(x, y))$  **isn't in a scope** of any quantifier.

The formula  $(\exists x R(x, f(c)) \Rightarrow \neg R(x, y))$  is in **the scope** of  $\forall$  in  $\forall z (\exists x R(x, f(c)) \Rightarrow \neg R(x, y))$ .

Now we are ready to define formally a predicate language.

**Predicate language** Let  $\mathcal{A}, T, \mathcal{F}$  be the alphabet, the set of terms and the set of formulas as defined above.

**Definition** A predicate language  $\mathcal{L}$  is a triple

$$\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{A}, T, \mathcal{F}).$$

As we have said before, the language  $\mathcal{L}$  is determined by the choice of the symbols of its alphabet, namely of the choice of connectives, predicate, function, and constant symbols. If we want specifically mention this choice, we write

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{CON}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C}) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C}).$$