



Cse547

Chapter 1, problem 9

Problem9

Sometimes it's possible to use induction backwards, proving things from n to $n-1$ instead of vice versa! For example, consider the statement

$$P(n) : x_1 \dots x_n \leq \left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} \right)^n, \text{ if } x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0.$$

This is true when $n = 2$, since $(x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_1x_2 = (x_1 - x_2)^2 \leq 0$.

Problem9

- a By setting $x_n = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)/(n-1)$, prove that $P(n)$ implies $P(n-1)$ whenever $n > 1$.

- b Show that $P(n)$ and $P(2)$ imply $P(2n)$.

- c Explain why this implies the truth of $P(n)$ for all n .

(a)

- If we want to get $P(n-1)$ from $P(n)$, we have to eliminate x_n by constant or $x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$.
- If using constant, the left seems good, the right hand side is hard to go on: the constant c is hard to deal with

$$\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} + c}{n} \right)^n$$

(a)

- Then we try to swap x_n with expression of $x_1 \dots x_{n-1}$. Intuitively, it should be symmetrical.
- It is not hard to guess out the hint:

$$x_n = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}) / (n - 1)$$

(a)

- By substituting x_n by

We have:

$$x_n = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}) / (n - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 x_2 \dots x_n &\leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} \right)^n && P(n) \\ \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1} &\leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} + \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1}}{n} \right)^n \\ \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1} &\leq \left(\frac{\frac{(n-1)(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1})}{n-1} + \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1}}{n} \right)^n \\ \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1} &\leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1} \right)^n \\ \Rightarrow x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} &\leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1}}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} && P(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

(b)

- If we want to prove $P(2n)$, we should first have the elements $x_1 \dots x_{2n}$.
- First guess is to use $P(n)$ twice, like this:

$$x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} \right)^n \quad \text{Using } P(n)$$

$$x_{n+1} x_{n+2} \dots x_{2n} \leq \left(\frac{x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{2n}}{n} \right)^n \quad \text{Using } P(n)$$

- Then multiply them

(b)

- Then by using P(2), we can have:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2n} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n} \cdot \frac{x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{2n}}{n} \right)^n \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n + x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + \dots + x_{2n}}{n} \right)^2}{2} \right)^n \quad \text{Using } P(2) \\ & = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{2n}}{2n} \right)^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

(b)

- The About method uses $P(n)$, then $P(2)$.
- What if we use $P(2)$, then $P(n)$?
- The method is to aggregate $x(2i-1)$ and $x(2i)$ into one part:

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2n} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{x_3 + x_4}{2} \right)^2 \dots \left(\frac{x_{2n-1} + x_{2n}}{2} \right)^2 && \text{Using } P(2) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\left(\frac{(x_1 + x_2) + (x_3 + x_4) + \dots + (x_{2n-1} + x_{2n})}{2} \right)^2}{n} \right)^n && \text{Using } P(n) \\ & = \left(\frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{2n}}{2n} \right)^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

(c)

- The idea is to use a special version of mathematical induction as this form:
- Basis: the statement holds when $n=1$ or 2 .
- Inductive: if the statement holds for $n \leq k$,
then the same statement holds
for $n=k+1$

(c)

- Basis: for $n=1$, $x_1 \cdot (x_1/1)^1$.

So we have $P(1)$

for $n=2$, $x_1+x_2 \cdot ((x_1+x_2)/2)^2$.

So we have $P(2)$

(c)

- Inductive step:

Suppose for $n \leq k$, we have $P(n)$

then for $n = k + 1$, we do a case analysis to show $P(n)$ still holds:

(c)

- if $k+1$ is even, then $(k+1)/2$ is an integer and less than $k+1$, So $P((k+1)/2)$ holds.

Based on Question(b), $P((k+1)/2) \& P(2) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

- If $k+1$ is odd, then $(k+2)/2$ is an integer and less than $k+1$, so $P((k+2)/2)$ holds.

Based on Question(b), $P((k+2)/2) \& P(2) \Rightarrow P(k+2)$.

Based on Question(a), $P(k+2) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

(c)

- Therefore, for $n=k+1$, $P(n)$ holds for all cases. Proof is done.