#### CSE 547 Discrete Mathematics Chapter 4: Problem 14

## Problem #14

Prove or disprove :

- a. gcd(km,kn) = k gcd(m,n);
- b. lcm(km,kn) = k lcm(m,n).

# Solution

#### **Definitions:**

<u>gcd</u> : greatest common divisor The greatest common divisor of two integers m and n is the largest integer that divides them both:

 $gcd(m,n) = max\{k \mid k \mid m and k \mid n\}.$ 

<u>Icm</u>: least common multiple The least common multiple of two integers m and n is the smallest integer k which is a multiplicative factor of both: i.e.,

 $lcm(m,n) = min \{ k \mid k>0, m k and n k \}$ This is undefined if m ≤ 0 or n ≤ 0.

Point to note:

In the above definitions, we have used k\m and k\n.

The functionality of '\' is defined by:

m\n <=> m>0 and n=mk for some integer k

Informally, this is nothing but n/m written in the reverse manner.

To solve the given problem, it is important to know the following points:

- 1. Every positive integer n can be written as a product of primes, i.e.,
  - n = p<sub>1</sub> p<sub>2</sub> .....p<sub>m =</sub>  $\prod p_k$ , 1 ≤ k ≤ m and p<sub>1</sub>≤....≤p<sub>m</sub> (Equation (4.10) on page 106) For example, 12 = 2.2.3; 35 = 5.7 etc,.

And this expansion (factorization) is unique for every integer. This is called Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

2. This theorem can be stated in another

way as :

Every positive integer can be written uniquely in the form

n =  $\prod_p$  p pow (n<sub>p</sub>), where each n<sub>p</sub>≥0. (Equation (4.11) on page 107)

The above equation represents n uniquely. So we can think of a sequence  $< n_2, n_3, n_5...>$  as a number system for positive integers.

For example, the prime-exponent representation of 12 is <2,1,0,0,.....> and for 18 it is <1,2,0,0,....>.

- 3. To multiply two numbers, we simply add their representations. i.e.,
  - $k = mn \iff k_p = m_p + n_p$  for all p. (Equation (4.12) on page 107)

This implies that

m\n <=>  $m_p \le n_p$  for all p, (Equation (4.13) on page 107)

From the above point, it follows that  $k = gcd(m,n) \leq k_p = min(m_p, n_p)$  for all p; (Equation (4.14) on page 107)  $k = lcm(m,n) \le k_{D} = max(m_{D}, n_{D})$  for all p. (Equation (4.15) on page 107)

Example:  $12 = 2^2 \cdot 3^1$  and  $18 = 2^1 \cdot 3^2$ 

Hence, 
$$gcd(12,18) = 2^{min(2,1)} \cdot 3^{min(1,2)}$$
  
=  $2^1 \cdot 3^1 = 6$ ;  
 $lcm(12,18) = 2^{max(2,1)} \cdot 3^{max(1,2)}$   
=  $2^2 \cdot 3^2 = 36$ .

Now, coming to the given problem, we want to get the value of gcd(km,kn) and lcm(km,kn) in terms of the gcd(m,n) and lcm(m,n) respectively.

Let K = (km) (kn). This implies that  

$$K_p = (km)_p + (kn)_p$$
 for all p  
(Using equation (4.12))

Again, using the same equation, if A = km , then  $A_p = k_p + m_p$  and if B = kn , then  $B_p = k_p + n_p$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \underline{Part\ (a):}\\ L.H.S:\ X = gcd(km,kn) <=>\\ X_p = min(A_p\,,\,B_p)\\ = min\ (k_p + m_p\,,k_p + n_p\,)\\ (Using\ equation\ (4.15))\\ R.H.S:\ Y = k\ \{gcd(m,n)\ \} <=>\\ Y_p = k_p + \{min(m_p\,,n_p\,)\}\\ --\ According\ to\ equation\ (4.12)\end{array}$$

Since,  $k_p$  is a term added to both  $m_p$  and  $n_p$ , it does not matter what the value of  $k_p$  is while calculating min ( $k_p + m_p$ ,  $k_p + n_p$ ) For example, lets assume  $m_p < n_{p.}$ Then,  $X_p = (k_p + m_p)$  and  $Y_p = k_p + (m_p)$ 

Hence, gcd(km,kn) = k gcd(m,n)

```
Now consider Part (b).
Icm(km,kn) = k Icm(m,n)
```

```
L.H.S:

X = lcm(km,kn) <=>
X_{p} = max (A_{p}, B_{p})
= max (k_{p} + m_{p}, k_{p} + n_{p})
Using equation (4.15)
```

R.H.S:  $Y = k \{lcm(m,n)\} <=>$  $Y_{p} = k_{p} + \{max(m_{p}, n_{p})\}$ -- According to equation (4.12) Again following the same argument as for the Part (a), If  $m_p < n_p$ , then,  $X_{p} = (k_{p} + n_{p})$  and  $Y_{p} = k_{p} + (n_{p})$ , which are equal.

Hence. lcm(km,kn) = k lcm(m,n)

Therefore, (a) gcd(km,kn) = k gcd(m,n) (b) lcm(km,kn) = k lcm(m,n)