#### **CSE 613: Parallel Programming**

#### Lecture 10 ( Cache Performance of Divide-and-Conquer Algorithms )

Rezaul A. Chowdhury Department of Computer Science SUNY Stony Brook Spring 2012

## **Modern Single Core Machines**

#### **Memory Hierarchy**



- Cost of memory access depends on whether it's a (cache) hit or miss
- Data in cache may get evicted to make space for new data items
- Good performance requires high locality in memory accesses

#### **Multicores**



## Assumptions

#### **Two Level Memory Hierarchy**

A single level of cache (caches) of size *C* (each) connected to a main memory of unbounded size and block size B

#### LRU (Least Recently Used) Cache Replacement Policy

When a new block must be brought into the cache, but the cache is full, then the cache block that was accessed least recently is evicted to make space for the new block

#### **Automatic Cache Replacement**

Done automatically by the OS or the hardware

#### **Fully Associative Caches**

A block brought into the cache from memory can reside anywhere in the cache

# Parallel Caching Model: Distributed Caches



#### Configuration:

- *p* processing elements
- a private cache of size C for each processing element
- an arbitrarily large global shared memory
- block transfer size B (between caches and memory)

Cache Performance Measure:

number of *block transfers* across all caches

# Parallel Caching Model: Shared Cache



Configuration:

- *p* processing elements
- a shared cache of size C
- an arbitrarily large global shared memory
- $C \ge p \cdot B$ , where C is block transfer size

Cache Performance Measure:

number of *block transfers* between the cache and the memory

# Locality of Reference

#### **Spatial Locality**

If a particular memory location is accessed at a particular time, then it is likely that nearby memory locations will also be accessed in the near future.

Take advantage of the block size *B* to load all memory locations in the same block into the cache when a particular memory location in that block is accessed.

#### **Temporal Locality**

If a particular memory location is accessed at a particular time, then it is likely that the same memory location will be accessed again in the near future.

Take advantage of the cache size *C* to retain memory locations already loaded into the cache for future references.

#### **Iterative Matrix Multiplication**

$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{ik} \boldsymbol{Y}_{kj}$$



lter-N	1M ( Z, X, Y )	{ X, Y, Z are n × n matrices, where n is a positive integer }
1. <i>f</i> e	or $i \leftarrow 1$ to n do	
2.	for $j \leftarrow 1$ to n do	
3.	<i>Z</i> [ <i>i</i> ][ <i>j</i> ] ← 0	
4.	for $k \leftarrow 1$ to n d	0
5.	Z[ i ][ j ] ← Z	Z[ i ][ j ] + X[ i ][ k ] · Y[ k ][ j ]

### **Iterative Matrix Multiplication**



Each iteration of the *for* loop in line 3 incurs O(n) cache misses.

Cache-complexity of *Iter-MM*,  $Q(n) = O(n^3)$ .

### **Iterative Matrix Multiplication**



Each iteration of the *for* loop in line 3 incurs  $O\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right)$  cache misses.

Cache-complexity of *Iter-MM*,  $Q(n) = O\left(n^2\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{n^3}{B} + n^2\right)$ .





Choose  $m = \sqrt{C/3}$ , so that  $X_{ik}$ ,  $Y_{kj}$  and  $Z_{ij}$  just fit into the cache. Then line 4 incurs  $\Theta\left(m\left(1+\frac{m}{B}\right)\right)$  cache misses.

Cache-complexity of *Block-MM* [assuming a *tall cache*, i.e.,  $C = \mathbb{P}(B^2)$ ]

$$=\Theta\left(\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^3\left(m+\frac{m^2}{B}\right)\right)=\Theta\left(\frac{n^3}{m^2}+\frac{n^3}{Bm}\right)=\Theta\left(\frac{n^3}{C}+\frac{n^3}{B\sqrt{C}}\right)=\Theta\left(\frac{n^3}{B\sqrt{C}}\right)$$

(Optimal: Hong & Kung, STOC'81)

#### **Block Matrix Multiplication**





#### **Multiple Levels of Cache**



#### **Multiple Levels of Cache**



### Parallel Recursive MM

Par-	Rec-MM (Z, X, Y) {X, Y, Z are $n \times n$ matrices, where $n = 2^k$ for integer $k \ge 0$
1.	if n = 1 then
2.	$Z \leftarrow Z + X \cdot Y$
3.	else
4.	spawn Par-Rec-MM(Z <sub>11</sub> , X <sub>11</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )
5.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>12</sub> , X <sub>11</sub> , Y <sub>12</sub> )
6.	spawn Par-Rec-MM(Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>21</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )
7.	Par-Rec-MM ( Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>21</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )
8.	sync
9.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>11</sub> , X <sub>12</sub> , Y <sub>21</sub> )
10.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>12</sub> , X <sub>12</sub> , Y <sub>22</sub> )
11.	spawn Par-Rec-MM(Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>22</sub> , Y <sub>21</sub> )
12.	Par-Rec-MM ( Z <sub>22</sub> , X <sub>22</sub> , Y <sub>22</sub> )
13.	sync
14.	endif

Work:  $T_{1}(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1), & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 8T_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$   $= \Theta(n^{3}) \qquad [MT Case 1]$ 

$$= \Theta(n^3)$$
 [MT Case 1]

Span:

$$T_{\infty}(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1), & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 2T_{\infty}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$= \Theta(n) \qquad [\text{ MT Case 1 }]$$

**Parallel Running Time:** 

$$T_p(n) = O\left(\frac{T_1(n)}{p} + T_{\infty}(n)\right) = O\left(\frac{n^3}{p} + n\right)$$

Parallelism:  $\frac{T_1(n)}{T_{\infty}(n)} = \Theta(n^2)$ 

Additional Space:

 $s_\infty(n) = \Theta(1)$ 

### Parallel Recursive MM on a Single Core



**Cache Complexity:** 

$$Q_{1}(n) = \begin{cases} O\left(n + \frac{n^{2}}{B}\right), & \text{ if } n^{2} \leq \alpha C, \\ 8Q_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right), & \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$= \Theta\left(\frac{n^3}{B\sqrt{C}} + \frac{n^2}{B} + 1\right),$$
  
when  $C = \Omega(B^2)$ 

### Parallel Recursive MM on Distributed Caches

Par	-Rec-MM (Z, X, Y) {X, Y, Z are $n \times n$ matrices, where $n = 2^k$ for integer $k \ge 0$ }	
1.	if n = 1 then	
2.	$Z \leftarrow Z + X \cdot Y$	
3.	else	
4.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>11</sub> , X <sub>11</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )	
5.	spawn Par-Rec-MM( Z <sub>12</sub> , X <sub>11</sub> , Y <sub>12</sub> )	
6.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>21</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )	
7.	Par-Rec-MM ( Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>21</sub> , Y <sub>11</sub> )	
8.	sync	
9.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>11</sub> , X <sub>12</sub> , Y <sub>21</sub> )	
10.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>12</sub> , X <sub>12</sub> , Y <sub>22</sub> )	
11.	spawn Par-Rec-MM (Z <sub>21</sub> , X <sub>22</sub> , Y <sub>21</sub> )	
12.	Par-Rec-MM ( Z <sub>22</sub> , X <sub>22</sub> , Y <sub>22</sub> )	
13.	sync	
14. endif		

$$p = 4^q < \frac{n^2}{\alpha C}$$

**Assumption:** if p > 1, then p is evenly distributed among the simultaneously spawned functions.

**Cache Complexity:** 

$$\begin{aligned} Q_p(n) &= \begin{cases} Q_1(n), & \text{if } p = 1, \\ 8Q_{\frac{p}{4}} \left(\frac{n}{2}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \Theta\left(\frac{n^3}{B\sqrt{C}} + \sqrt{p} \cdot \frac{n^2}{B} + p\sqrt{p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

when  $C = \Omega(B^2)$ 

# The Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) Problem

A *subsequence* of a sequence *X* is obtained by deleting zero or more symbols from *X*.

Example:

- X = abcba
- $Z = bca \leftarrow obtained by deleting the 1<sup>st</sup> 'a' and the 2<sup>nd</sup> 'b' from X$

A Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) of two sequence X and Y is a sequence Z that is a subsequence of both X and Y, and is the longest among all such subsequences.

Given X and Y, the LCS problem asks for such a Z.

### The Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) Problem

Given:  $X = x_1 x_2 ... x_n$  and  $Y = y_1 y_2 ... y_n$ 

Fills up an array c[0 ... n, 0 ... n] using the following recurrence.

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \lor j = 0, \\ c[i - 1, j - 1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \land x_i = y_j, \\ max \{c[i, j - 1], c[i - 1, j]\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



## The Longest Common Subsequence (LCS) Problem

The classic ( iterative ) serial LCS DP runs in  $\Theta(n^2)$  time, uses  $\Theta(n^2)$  space, and

incurs  $\Theta\left(\frac{n^2}{B}\right)$  cache misses.

Any algorithm using  $\Theta(s)$  space must incur  $\Omega\left(\frac{s}{B}\right)$  cache misses.

Hence in order to reduce the cache complexity of the LCS algorithm from  $\Theta\left(\frac{n^2}{B}\right)$  we must first reduce its space usage below  $\Theta(n^2)$ .





















 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 





Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]





Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )


<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]



1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )



<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]





Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )



 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 





Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )



 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 





Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- Forward Pass (Generate Boundaries): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of the quadrants recursively. ( of at most 3 quadrants )
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract fragments of the traceback path from the quadrants recursively. ( from at most 3 quadrants )

4. <u>Compose Traceback Path</u>: Combine the path fragments.



### **Cache Performance**

**Cache complexity:** 

$$Q_{1}(n) \leq \begin{cases} O\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{if } n \leq \alpha C, \\ 3Q'_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 3Q_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $Q'_1(n)$  is the cache complexity of *recursive boundary generation* ( in the forward pass ):

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_1(n) &\leq \begin{cases} \operatorname{O}\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{if } n \leq \alpha C, \\ 4Q'_1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \operatorname{O}(1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \operatorname{O}\left(\frac{n^2}{BC} + \frac{n}{B} + 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting, 
$$Q_1(n) = O\left(\frac{n^2}{BC} + \frac{n}{B} + 1\right)$$

### **Cache Performance**

**Cache complexity:** 

$$Q_{1}(n) \leq \begin{cases} O\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{if } n \leq \alpha C, \\ 3Q'_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 3Q_{1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + O\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where  $Q'_1(n)$  is the cache complexity of *recursive boundary generation* ( in the forward pass ):

$$\begin{aligned} Q'_1(n) &\leq \begin{cases} \operatorname{O}\left(1 + \frac{n}{B}\right), & \text{if } n \leq \alpha C, \\ 4Q'_1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \operatorname{O}(1), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \operatorname{O}\left(\frac{n^2}{BC} + \frac{n}{B} + 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting, 
$$Q_1(n) = O\left(\frac{n^2}{BC} + \frac{n}{B} + 1\right)$$

# Parallel Cache-efficient LCS Algorithm for Distributed Caches

# **Parallel Cache-efficient Boundary Computation** (<u>PAR-BOUNDARY</u>) Q = c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]n > pCY С X

stored values

# **Parallel Cache-efficient Boundary Computation** (<u>PAR-BOUNDARY</u>) Q = c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]n > pCY С X

stored values

# **Parallel Cache-efficient Boundary Computation** (<u>PAR-BOUNDARY</u>) Q = c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]n > pCY С X

stored values



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

#### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

#### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

#### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

#### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

#### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:



### ( PAR-BOUNDARY )

<u>Q</u> ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]

### 1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split Q into  $p^2$  submatrices of size  $(n / p) \times (n / p)$  each.

#### 2. Generate Boundaries:

In iteration  $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ , solve all submatrices on the *i*-th forward diagonal in parallel using the sequential cache-oblivious algorithm.

### For each cell also compute:









(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



<u>Q ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]</u>

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. <u>Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries )</u>: Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- 2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:



<u>Q ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]</u>

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- 2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:



<u>Q ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]</u>

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- 2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:



(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- 2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all *p* processors ).
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:


# Parallel Cache-efficient Traceback Path

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. Decompose Q:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all p processors ).

#### 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract path fragments from  $Q_{22}$ ,  $Q_{12}$ and  $Q_{11}$  in parallel by calling PAR-TRACEBACK with p / 3 processors each.



 $Q \equiv c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]$ 

ס < ח C

# Parallel Cache-efficient Traceback Path

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all p processors ).

#### 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract path fragments from  $Q_{22}$ ,  $Q_{12}$ and  $Q_{11}$  in parallel by calling PAR-TRACEBACK with p / 3 processors each.



<u>Q ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]</u>

# Parallel Cache-efficient Traceback Path

(<u>PAR-TRACEBACK</u>)

1. <u>Decompose Q</u>:

Split *Q* into four quadrants.

- 2. Forward Pass ( Generate Boundaries ): Generate the right and the bottom boundaries of all quadrants by calling PAR-BOUNDARY ( using all p processors ).
- 3. <u>Backward Pass (Extract Traceback Path</u> <u>Fragments )</u>:

Extract path fragments from  $Q_{22}$ ,  $Q_{12}$ and  $Q_{11}$  in parallel by calling PAR-TRACEBACK with p / 3 processors each.

4. <u>Compose Traceback Path</u>:

Combine the path fragments.



<u>Q ≡ c[1 ... n, 1 ... n]</u>



## DP with Local Dependencies Generalization of the LCS Result

<u>Problem</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Space</u>	<u>Cache-complexity</u>		<u>Parallel Time</u>	
Longest Common Subsequence	$\Theta(\mathbf{n}^2)$	⊖(n)	$O\left(\frac{n^2}{BC}\right)$	$\frac{\text{Classic}}{O\left(\frac{n^2}{B}\right)}$	$O\left(\frac{n^2}{n}+n\right)$	
Pairwise Sequence Alignment ( affine gap costs )	0(11)			$\frac{\text{Gotoh, 1982}}{O\left(\frac{n^2}{B}\right)}$		
Median of three Sequences ( affine gap costs )	$\Theta(n^3)$	• • • (n <sup>2</sup> )	$O\left(\frac{n^3}{B\sqrt{C}}\right)$	$\frac{\text{Knudsen, 2003}}{O\left(\frac{n^3}{B}\right)}$	$O\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{n}^3}{\boldsymbol{p}} + \boldsymbol{n}\right)$	
RNA Secondary Structure Prediction with Simple Pseudoknots	$\Theta(n^4)$		$O\left(\frac{n^4}{B\sqrt{C}}\right)$	$\frac{Akutsu, 2000}{O\left(\frac{n^4}{B}\right)}$	$O\left(\frac{n^4}{p} + n\log^2 n\right)$	

n = sequence length, C = cache size, B = block transfer size, p = #processors

## Performance of Cache-efficient Serial LCS

#### <u>Algorithms compared:</u>

- $\boxdot$  The cache-efficient LCS algorithm ( CO )
- ☑ Hirschberg's linear-space LCS algorithm (*Hi*)

#### **Computing Environment:**

Architecture	Processor Speed	L1 Cache (B)	L2 Cache (B)	RAM
Intel Xeon	3 GHz	8 KB (64 B)	512 KB (64 B)	4 GB
AMD Opteron	2.4 GHz	64 KB (64 B)	1 MB (64 B)	4 GB
SUN Blade	1 GHz	64 KB (32 B)	8 MB (512 B)	1 GB

## <u>Ratio of Running Times on Random Sequences</u> (Hirschberg vs the Cache-efficient Algorithm)



## Ratio of L1 Misses on Random Sequences (Hirschberg vs the Cache-efficient Algorithm)



# <u>Cache Performance</u> <u>of</u> <u>Divide-and-Conquer Algorithms</u> <u>under the</u> <u>Work-Stealing Scheduler</u>

## **Series-Parallel DAG**



## Assumptions

Two-way Division (Spawn):

Each division generates only two subtasks.

### **Serial Execution:**

The left (first) subtask generated by a fork node is always executed first.

### **Parallel Execution:**

Only the right (second) subtask generated by a fork node can be stolen.

### **Drifted Nodes:**

In a parallel execution we say that a node is *drifted* when it is executed on a different processing element than its predecessor in the serial execution.



## **Observations**

### **Observation 1:**

Consider two executions of a sequence of instructions X. Each execution takes place completely on a single processing element connected to a cache of size C and block size B. Then the number of cache misses incurred by the two executions can differ by at most C/B.

(As under LRU cache replacement policy only the first access to each of the *C* / *B* blocks can cause a cache miss in one execution that is not a miss in the other )

### **Observation 2:**

Each steal can cause at most two nodes to drift: the stolen node and possibly the join node with its sibling.

## **Implications**

If there are *S* successful steals during parallel execution then there will be at most  $2S \times \frac{C}{B}$  additional cache misses compared to the sequential execution.

Now suppose a divide-and-conquer algorithm incurs  $Q_1(n)$  cache misses on a serial machine.

Then on a parallel machine with p parallel processing elements each connected to a cache of size C and block size B, the total number of cache misses incurred:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_p(n) &\leq Q_1(n) + \mathcal{O}\left(S \cdot \frac{C}{B}\right) \\ &= Q_1(n) + \mathcal{O}\left(pT_{\infty}(n) \cdot \frac{C}{B}\right) \quad [w.h.p.] \end{aligned}$$