Each problem is worth 10 points．Please justify your answers．

## Problem 1

Exercise 1．5，parts（a）－（c）．
Answer：（a）Abstract syntax：〈intexp〉：：＝$\Sigma\langle$ var $\rangle$ ：〈intexp〉 to $\langle$ intexp $\rangle .\langle$ intexp $\rangle$
（b）

$$
\llbracket \Sigma v: e_{0} \text { to } e_{1} \cdot e_{2} \rrbracket=\sum_{k=\llbracket e_{0} \rrbracket_{\text {intexp }} \sigma}^{\llbracket e_{1} \rrbracket_{\text {intexp }} \sigma} \llbracket e_{2} \rrbracket_{\text {intexp }}[\sigma \mid v: k]
$$

（c）Free vars： $\mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}\left(\Sigma v: e_{0}\right.$ to $\left.e_{1} \cdot e_{2}\right)=\mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}\left(e_{0}\right) \cup \mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}\left(e_{1}\right) \cup\left(\mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}\left(e_{2}\right) \backslash\{v\}\right)$ ．
Substitition：$\left(\Sigma v: e_{0}\right.$ to $\left.e_{1} \cdot e_{2}\right) / \delta=\left(\Sigma v^{\prime}: e_{0} / \delta\right.$ to $\left.e_{1} / \delta . e_{2} /\left[\delta \mid v: v^{\prime}\right]\right)$
where $v^{\prime} \notin \cup_{w \in \mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}\left(e_{2}\right) \backslash\{v\}} \mathrm{FV}_{\text {intexp }}(\delta w)$

## Problem 2

## Exercise 2．2．

Answer：（a）Abstract syntax：〈comm〉：：＝repeat $\langle$ comm $\rangle$ until 〈boolexp〉
（b）Semantics：$\llbracket$ repeat $c$ until $b \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma=\left(Y_{\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\perp}} F\right)\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right)$
where $F f \sigma=$ if $\neg \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma$ then $f_{\Perp}\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right)$ else $\sigma$
（c）Syntactic sugar：repeat $c$ until $b$ can be re－written as $c$ ；while $\neg b$ do $c$ ．
Proof of equivalence：start with $\llbracket c$ ；while $\neg b$ do $c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma$ ，and expand it by unfolding the definitions of the meanings of sequential composition and while loops．it should be easy to show that the resulting expression is equivalent to the right side of the equation in part（b）．

## Problem 3

Exercise 2．4．Hint：Let $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots$ be a chain in $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\perp}$ ．Show that $\sqcup_{i} F\left(f_{i}\right)=F\left(\sqcup_{i} f_{i}\right)$ by starting with $\sqcup_{i} F\left(f_{i}\right)$ and pushing the $\sqcup_{i}$ inwards through terms that do not depend on $i$ ．
Answer：I will use the characterization on continuity on the last line of page 31 and the first few lines of page 32 ．We need to show that $F$ is monotonic and that $F$ commutes with limits．
First，we show that $F$ is monotonic．By definition，we need to show：if $f \sqsubseteq f^{\prime}$ then $F f \sqsubseteq F f^{\prime}$ ，i．e．，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma \text { then } f_{\Perp}\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right) \text { else } \sigma \\
\sqsubseteq & \text { if } \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma \text { then } f_{\Perp}^{\prime}\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right) \text { else } \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that this follows from the fact that，for all states $\sigma^{\prime}, f\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \sqsubseteq f^{\prime}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)$ ．
Now we show that $F$ commutes with limits．

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqcup_{i} F\left(f_{i}\right) & =\sqcup_{i} \mathbf{i f} \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma \text { then }\left(f_{i}\right)_{\Perp}\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right) \text { else } \sigma \\
& =\sqcup_{i} \mathbf{i f} \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma \text { then }\left(\text { if } \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma=\perp \text { then } \perp \text { else } \sqcup_{i} f_{i}\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right)\right) \text { else } \sigma \\
& =\sqcup_{i} \mathbf{i f} \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text {boolexp }} \sigma \text { then }\left(\text { if } \llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma=\perp \text { then } \perp \text { else }\left(\sqcup_{i} f_{i}\right)\left(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text {comm }} \sigma\right)\right) \text { else } \sigma \\
& =F\left(\sqcup_{i} f_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we instead use the definition of continuity in the third-to-last paragraph on page 31, we don't need to show that $F$ is monotonic, but we instead need to show that $\left\{F f_{0}, F f_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ has a least upper bound in $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\perp}$. (An arbitrary set of elements of a domain $D$ does not necessarily have a least upper bound in $D$.)

